

THE LAW GUARANTEE AND TRUST SOCIETY, LIMITED,

SUBSCRIBED CAPITAL - £1,000,000. PAID-UP - £100,000.
RESERVE FUND - £115,000.

FIDELITY GUARANTEES OF ALL KINDS. ADMINISTRATION AND LUNACY
BONDS. MORTGAGE, DEBENTURE, LICENSE, AND CONTINGENCY
INSURANCE. TRUSTEESHIPS FOR DEBENTURE-HOLDERS, &c.

HEAD OFFICE: 49, Chancery-lane, W.C. | CITY OFFICE: 56, Moorgate-street, E.C.

IMPORTANT TO SOLICITORS

In Drawing LEASES or MORTGAGES of
LICENSED PROPERTY

To see that the Insurance Covenants include a policy covering the risk of
LOSS OR FORFEITURE OF THE LICENSE.

Suitable clauses, settled by Counsel, can be obtained on application to
THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND
GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED,
24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.
Mortgages Guaranteed on Licensed Properties promptly, without
special valuation and at low rates.

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED 1836.

FUNDS	-	-	-	-	£ 3,000,000
INCOME	-	-	-	-	£ 390,000
YEARLY BUSINESS	-	-	-	-	£ 1,000,000
BUSINESS IN FORCE	-	-	-	-	£ 11,700,000

THE PERFECTED SYSTEM of Life Assurance is peculiar to this Society
and embraces every modern advantage.

PERFECTED MAXIMUM POLICIES.

WITHOUT PROFITS.

The Rates for these Whole Life Policies are very moderate.

Age	Premium	Age	Premium	Age	Premium
20	£1 7 8 %	30	£1 16 %	40	£2 10 %

£1,000 POLICY WITH BONUSES

According to last results.

Valuation at 2½ p.c.:—Hm. Table of Mortality.

Duration	10 yrs.	20 yrs.	30 yrs.	40 yrs.
Amount of Policy	£1,199	£1,438	£1,724	£2,067

Next Bonus as at 31st December, 1901.

OFFICES: 10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

VOL. XLV., No. 45.

The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, SEPTEMBER 7, 1901.

* The Editor cannot undertake to return rejected contributions, and
copies should be kept of all articles sent by writers who are not on
the regular staff of the JOURNAL.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS	759	CORRESPONDENCE	763
THE PLACE OF RESIDENCE IS NOT NECESSARILY THE PLACE OF DOMICIL	761	LEGAL NEWS	763
REQUISITION OF RECEIVING ORDERS AND ADJUDICATIONS	761	WINDING UP NOTICES	764
REVIEWS	762	CREDITORS' NOTICES	764
		BANKRUPTCY NOTICES	765
		PUBLIC GENERAL STATUTES	

Cases Reported this Week.

In the Weekly Reporter.

Alderton v. J. & J. W. Palliser	706
Campbell Davys v. Lloyd	710
Hildesheimer v. Faulkner	708
Hirst v. West Riding Union Banking Co. (Limited)	715
Lady Cardigan v. Curzon-Howe	716

Marquis of Anglesey, In re. Willmot v. Gardner	706
Marquis of Bristol v. The Commis- sioners of Inland Revenue	719
Raine v. Johnson & Co.	705
Seath (Appellant) v. Taylor (Respon- dent)	719
Turner v. Sawdon & Co.	712

CURRENT TOPICS.

THE ORDER of the business in the Vacation Court on Wednesday was altered by entering matters of which notice had been given for that day at the beginning of the list, and postponing to the latter part those which had stood over from previous weeks. The business of the day presented no features of special interest, and the list was quickly disposed of.

THE ENTERING of motions in a list for the purpose of the Vacation Court serves to bring into relief the absurdity of the unbusinesslike arrangements which characterize motion days in the courts of the Chancery Division during the sittings. The vacation business is despatched rapidly and methodically, and confusion in the court is avoided. Yet no sooner do the regular sittings begin than the same class of business is transacted without any intimation to suitors of the order in which their cases will be taken, and without any notification of the amount of business the court has to transact. In some respects the Chancery Division has advantages, but it does not shew well in the arrangement of this class of business, and the intervention of some external and disinterested authority is urgently needed.

THE RECENT decision of the House of Lords in the *Taff Vale Railway case* (ante, p. 690) has been the subject of keen discussion this week at the Trade Union Congress at Swansea. The case of *Lyons v. Wilkins*, which was twice before the Court of Appeal (45 W. R. 19, 47 W. R. 291), had already established, so far as that tribunal is concerned, that picketing, though accompanied by no more than peaceable persuasion, is unlawful under section 7 of the Conspiracy and Protection of Property Act, 1875, and can be made the subject of civil proceedings. An injunction was accordingly granted in that case against members and officials of a trade union. The decision in the *Taff Vale case*, under which a trade union, though an unincorporated association, is liable to be sued, and under which also the property of the trade union can be made liable to compensate persons injured by torts committed under the authority of the union, has given an enormously extended importance to *Lyons v. Wilkins*. Conduct such as was objected to in that case can not only be made the ground of an injunction against the trade union, but can be alleged in support of a claim to damages. The unions regret now that *Lyons v. Wilkins* was allowed to pass without further appeal, and it has been resolved to supply the omission by taking a test case to the House of Lords to ascertain how far picketing may be carried on without infringing the law and rendering the funds of the societies liable for damages. Each society also is recommended so to amend its rules that, as far as possible, protection may be obtained against some of the consequences of the decision in the *Taff Vale case*. Perhaps by this it is meant that the funds of the unions applicable to provident and benevolent purposes should be specifically set apart for such purposes, though whether they could be effectually saved from the results of the House of Lords' decision we are not prepared to say. It seems rather hopeless, however, having regard to the *Taff Vale case* and the still more recent decision of the House of Lords in *Quinn v. Leatham* (see ante, p. 718), to expect that any advantage to the unions will result from a further discussion of the question of picketing. If the law, as it has recently been expounded, bears hardly upon the unions, the natural course is to seek legislative interference.

WE PRINT elsewhere a letter which raises an interesting point as to the proper mode of dealing with restrictive covenants upon the registration of land with a possessory title and upon subsequent dealings with the land by registered transfers.

Rule 18 of the Land Transfer Rules, 1898, says that it shall not be necessary to state in the application for registration with a possessory title whether the property is subject to any, or, if any, what incumbrances, conditions, or other burdens. If, however, any statement as to such matters is made therein, they are to be referred to in the charges register. And rule 11 of the Fee Order, 1898, provides that "the fee for every entry of and in respect of a caution, inhibition or restriction, condition, note or notice of any kind, shall not be payable when such entry is made on the first registration of land, or on any registration for which an *ad valorem* fee is payable." If, therefore, it is desired, upon registering land with a possessory title, to place upon the register notice of restrictive covenants, the proper way is to state in the application for registration that the land is subject to the covenants, and then a reference to the covenants will be made without special fee in the charges register, and will be incorporated in the land certificate. In the case mentioned by our correspondent this appears not to have been done, and we gather that when his client purchased from the first registered proprietor there was no reference to the covenants on the register or on the land certificate. Under these circumstances the officials struck the reference to the covenants out of the draft instrument of transfer, and they claim that a further fee will be payable if notice of the covenants is put on the certificate.

PROVISION FOR annexing to registered land, whether on first registration or on a transfer to a purchaser for valuable consideration, conditions running with the land is made by section 84 of the Land Transfer Act, 1875, and by the Act of 1897 the section was extended to any restrictive condition capable of affecting assigns by way of notice. There seems to be no reason why a transferee of registered land who wishes to place upon the register existing restrictive covenants which were omitted upon first registration should not take advantage of this provision. The instrument of transfer would be in accordance with Form 36 in the first schedule to the Land Transfer Rules, and it would state the covenants. Since an *ad valorem* fee is payable on the transfer the officials would be bound to place a reference to the covenants on the charges register without special fee, and they would thus appear upon the new land certificate. It does not seem, however, that a covenant by the transferee to observe the covenants could be inserted in the transfer—rule 86, which gives power to add covenants of indemnity to transfers, applies only to covenants to pay money—and this, if required by the transferor, must be contained in a separate instrument. And so far as the purchaser is concerned, it is not apparent why he should desire to put upon the register covenants which were omitted on the first registration. He knows of the existence of the covenants from his examination of the earlier title, and therefore he is bound by them just as he would be if express notice was on the register. But when forty years have elapsed no examination of the earlier title will be required, and the land will be free from the covenants unless the person entitled to the benefit of them has chosen to protect himself by entering a caution. The difficulty is where a purchaser has agreed to take less than a forty years' title. He may then be affected with notice of covenants which he does not discover on investigation of the title. This, however, is a danger which is incident to accepting a short title, and it may well occur also in dealings with unregistered land in consequence of the reference to the covenants being omitted in an intermediate conveyance. Upon the whole there seems to be no reason why a purchaser of registered land who finds, from his investigation of the title prior to first registration, that there are restrictive covenants should assist in keeping the covenants alive by placing notice of them on the register. If this is to be done it should be done at the time of first registration.

THE RECENT judgment of the House of Lords in *Jacobs v. Booth's Distillery Co.*, as yet unreported, is a decision of the very highest importance, effecting, as it does, something like a revolution in the practice under order 14. How important any such decision is bound to be is brought vividly home to the practitioner by a glance at the recent volume of judicial statistics compiled by

Master MACDONELL, when it is found that no less than one-third of the moneys recovered in the High Court are recovered under that order. Upon applications for summary judgment a practice had gradually grown up during recent years of exercising the discretion as to imposing terms conferred by rule 6 of order 14 in such a way as to force the defendant in substance to purchase his right to defend. If the facts alleged in defence have constituted an improbable story, or a story which in the face of the facts alleged by the plaintiff it is difficult to believe, or if there has been any element of suspicion attaching to the defence, it has been the custom to impose terms, and very often terms which the defendant could not possibly comply with. Such an order amounts practically to judgment for the plaintiff. In fact it has been the habit to consider, not whether the facts alleged, if proved, constitute a good defence, but whether it is probable that the defendant will succeed. In *Booth's case* the defendant's story, that he had been assured by the plaintiff's solicitors that his signing certain promissory notes was only a matter of form and that he incurred no liability, was certainly very strange. So he was only given leave to defend on terms of paying the whole sum into court. This was the same in result as if the plaintiff had been given leave to sign judgment. But the House of Lords have now expressly disapproved of this mode of exercising the discretion conferred by rule 6. They have held that a defendant is entitled to unconditional leave to defend where the facts he alleges, if proved, will amount to a good defence. To put it in another way, the defendant cannot be put on terms unless, assuming all the facts in his favour, they do not constitute a good defence in law to the plaintiff's claim. It is obvious that it is not very difficult as a rule to allege facts which, if proved, will constitute a good defence to an action, and this decision is likely considerably to impair the efficacy of order 14 as a speedy mode of obtaining summary judgment.

AN INTERESTING point as to the effect of failure to re-register a bill of sale was decided by the Court of Appeal in *Antoniana v. Smith* (49 W. R. 693). The defendant in 1893, by an absolute bill of sale, assigned the furniture in the house in which he resided, and of which he was tenant, to his mother-in-law, Mrs. MELLAND, who also resided in the same house. The bill of sale was duly registered, but the registration was not renewed at the end of five years in accordance with section 11 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878. In 1900 Mrs. MELLAND gave a bill of sale on the furniture to H. W. SMITH, to secure an advance, and this bill of sale was duly registered. Subsequently the furniture, which had remained all the time in the same house where the defendant and Mrs. MELLAND had continued to reside, was seized in execution under a judgment recovered by ANTONIANA, the plaintiff, against the defendant. H. W. SMITH claimed it under his bill of sale, and an interpleader issue was directed to try the question as between ANTONIANA and H. W. SMITH. *Prima facie* the title of the latter was good, but it was objected that Mrs. MELLAND had a defective title at the time of her grant of the bill of sale to H. W. SMITH, inasmuch as the bill of sale to herself was then liable to become, and did afterwards become, void for lack of re-registration. The validity of the objection depends upon the effect of sections 8 and 11 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878. The former section provides that a bill of sale must (*inter alia*) be registered, otherwise it is to be deemed fraudulent and void as against a trustee in bankruptcy or an execution creditor; and section 11 requires renewal of registration every five years, or in default the registration becomes void. The five years had elapsed in 1898, but the avoidance of the bill of sale for want of re-registration was by no means absolute as it would have been in the case of a mortgage bill of sale under the Act of 1882. The registration was void under section 11, but section 8 does not require registration for all purposes, but only to support the bill of sale as against trustees in bankruptcy and execution creditors. For other purposes the bill of sale is good. Now in 1898 there was no trustee in bankruptcy or execution creditor in existence to invalidate the bill of sale. Hence, as the Court

of Appeal held, affirming the judgments of the Divisional Court and of the county court judge, the absolute bill of sale was a valid subsisting document of title, and Mrs. MELLAND was able under it to make an effectual grant of the second bill of sale—the mortgage bill of sale to H. W. SMITH. In accordance with *Cookson v. Swire* (33 W. R. 181, 9 App. Cas. 653), the title which was thus well created in H. W. SMITH did not become defective subsequently because events transpired which would have invalidated the bill of sale under which he derived title. It was enough for him that Mrs. MELLAND was entitled to make a grant at the time when she executed the bill of sale in his favour. The claim of the bill of sale holder accordingly prevailed.

THE PLACE OF RESIDENCE IS NOT NECESSARILY THE PLACE OF DOMICIL.

THE rules of law regulating domicile in the United Kingdom are crystallized. They may be thus stated: (1) Every person acquires a domicile of origin at his or her birth; but the place of a person's birth is not necessarily the domicile of origin, because the domicile of origin of a legitimate child is that of the father at the date of the birth, and of an illegitimate child that of the mother; (2) a fresh domicile may be acquired by the act of the party; this is termed a "domicile of choice," because it is acquired by the party intentionally abandoning his domicile of origin and adopting a new place of residence permanently or for an indefinite period (see *King v. Foxwell*, 3 Ch. D. 518, and *Loustalan v. Loustalan*, 1900, P., at p. 231); (3) if a party abandons the domicile of choice, the domicile of origin reverts unless and until a new domicile of choice is acquired; (4) the burden of proving a change of domicile is on those who assert it; (5) a party's place or chief place of residence is not necessarily his domicile. But the application of these rules to given sets of facts is seldom, if ever, easy, as is shewn by the length of time which cases involving questions of domicile generally take.

The latest case on the subject is that recently decided in Scotland relative to the property of the late Sir WILLIAM CUNLIFFE BROOKS. In this case the complication was caused mainly by the fact that Sir W. CUNLIFFE BROOKS had a residence, and admittedly his chief residence, in Scotland; but he also had a residence, or rather two residences, in England. The legal proceedings were taken by his widow as pursuer against his trustees as defenders to obtain a declaration that he was at the time of his death a domiciled Scotchman, and that she was entitled to *jus relictæ*. Sir W. CUNLIFFE BROOKS' domicile of origin was admittedly English. He carried on to the time of his death a private banking business in England, in which he was chief partner. He had extensive landed estates in England of which he controlled the management. He was a Member of Parliament for an English constituency down to 1892. Finally, he had two residences in England, one in London and one near his estates, in both of which he resided for parts of the year, but only for Parliamentary and business purposes. What was mainly relied upon to prove his Scotch domicile was that from 1869 downwards his chief place of residence was at Glen Tana in Scotland. In 1871 he took a lease of this estate, and in 1891 he purchased it. In 1888 he purchased Aboyne Castle (but for family reasons), and in 1897 he purchased the adjoining Farrar Estate. He spent enormous sums of money upon or in connection with Glen Tana, to which place he was admittedly extremely attached. He died there in 1900, and in accordance with directions in his will he was buried there.

Glen Tana was in every sense his home; but was that enough to make it under the circumstances his domicile? The main contention on behalf of the widow seems to have been that since the cases of *Bell v. Kennedy* (L. R. 7 H. L. Sc. 307) and *Udny v. Udny* (L. R. 7 H. L. Sc. 441) it was no longer necessary to the acquisition of a new domicile that the party intended to abandon his old domicile, but that residence for a sufficient period *animo remanendi* was all that was required and was conclusive. Lord Low, before whom the case under notice came, said that if that view of the law was sound it would be difficult to resist the conclusion that Sir W. CUNLIFFE BROOKS had acquired a Scotch domicile; but Lord Low did not consider it

sound, and he laid down that "it was necessary in such circumstances as those with which he was dealing to prove, not only that he took up his residence in the country in which he was alleged to have acquired a domicile as his sole or chief residence with an intended intention of continuing that residence, but also that it was his intention to acquire a domicile there and abandon his domicile of origin." Applying that rule to the facts of the case, Lord Low held that he could come to no other conclusion than that Sir W. CUNLIFFE BROOKS never intended to relinquish, and did not in fact relinquish, his status as a domiciled Englishman, and on the ground that he had never lost his English domicile he gave judgment for the defenders.

RESCISSION OF RECEIVING ORDERS AND ANNULMENT OF ADJUDICATIONS.

THERE is no doubt that considerable uncertainty exists in the minds of practitioners as to the nature of the applications to rescind a receiving order or to annul an adjudication, and as to the grounds upon which such applications should be made, and the principles which the Court of Bankruptcy will apply when dealing with them. The reasons for this uncertainty are not far to seek. It arises in the first place from the fact that there is no express enactment in the Bankruptcy Acts which directly deals with rescission of receiving orders, although there are express provisions governing the annulment of an adjudication; and in the second place from the fact that the decisions relating to rescission of receiving orders and annulment of adjudications do not clearly distinguish between the two different applications, and while in some of them the two applications have been treated as if the same principles governed both, in others it has been definitely laid down that they are essentially different in their character.

Upon analyzing the principles applicable to the one and to the other it will be found, it is submitted, that while there are certain principles common to them both, there are also certain principles peculiar to each, arising out of the fact that the jurisdiction to rescind a receiving order is general, while the jurisdiction to annul an adjudication is grounded upon a special enactment.

Considering the question at first apart from authority, and merely upon the provisions of the Bankruptcy Acts, it is found that the Act of 1883 contains no express provision as to rescission of a receiving order, and recourse must therefore be had to the general jurisdiction conferred on the court by section 104 to "review, rescind, or vary any order made by it under its bankruptcy jurisdiction." That this section applies to the rescission of a receiving order was recognized as early as 1884 in *Re Ward* (35 W. R. 140), and has been unquestioned ever since *Re Izod* (1898, 46 W. R. 304). It will be observed that the discretion conferred by this section is a general discretion, not limited to any special grounds. Turning now to the sections dealing with an annulment of an adjudication, section 35 of the Act of 1883 provides that the court may annul an adjudication if (1) in the opinion of the court the debtor ought not to have been adjudged bankrupt; (2) if the debts are paid in full. Here, then, the discretion to annul is, on the face of it, limited to the two grounds specified in the section. It is interesting and not unimportant in this connection to remember that under the Bankruptcy Act of 1869 the jurisdiction to annul was general (*Ex parte Ashworth*, 22 W. R. 925), just as it is now in the case of the rescission of a receiving order.

Now it often happens, sometimes before adjudication, and sometimes after, that the debtor enters into negotiations with his creditors for a composition or scheme of arrangement. If the composition or scheme precedes adjudication, and follows the statutory course prescribed by section 3 of the Act of 1890, the court will discharge the receiving order. If it follows adjudication, and pursues the statutory course laid down by section 23 of the Act of 1883 in such cases, the court may annul the adjudication.

But apart from these statutory ways of getting rid of a receiving order, the important question arises whether the general discretion conferred by section 104 is wide enough to enable it to sanction another and more informal class of arrangement out-

side the Bankruptcy Acts altogether, and thereupon to rescind the receiving order; or, to put the question in a more concrete form, Ought the discretion to rescind a receiving order to be confined to the grounds upon which an adjudication will be annulled under section 35? For a considerable time the trend of judicial opinion was decidedly in the direction of such a limitation. In *Re Hester* (6 Morrell 85) CHARLES, J., expressly stated that the court ought to act upon the same considerations, when dealing with both applications, although it is noticeable that the Court of Appeal did not affirm his decision on that ground, and in *Re Dennis* (44 W. R. 170) VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, J., said, "In my judgment I ought to deal with the annulment of a receiving order upon exactly the same basis that I should deal with the annulment of an order of adjudication." But on the other hand in *Ex parte Dixon and Cardus* (37 W. R. 79) the general nature of the discretion was recognized, and has since been directly affirmed in *Re Isod* (46 W. R. 304). In that case an arrangement had been made by the debtor with his creditors by which they received 10s. in the pound from his father and executed assignments of their debts. The debtor immediately after his preliminary examination applied for rescission of the receiving order. On the appeal by the Board of Trade against the registrar's order rescinding the receiving order the question whether the general discretion under section 104 was limited by the provision as to schemes in section 3 of the Act of 1890, or was restricted to the same grounds as on an application to annul an adjudication, was expressly dealt with and repudiated by the Court of Appeal (RIGBY, L.J., dissenting). It is therefore perfectly possible for a debtor to get the receiving order rescinded without submitting a scheme or undergoing his public examination, and without paying his debts in full. But the court will look very jealously at any such scheme.

Turning now to the provisions of section 35 relating to the annulment of an adjudication, it is quite clear that there is no jurisdiction to annul an adjudication except upon one of the two grounds there specified, and even if one or the other of them is fulfilled there is still a discretion as to making the order. Some misapprehension appears to exist as to the meaning of payment of debts in full. It is a very common thing for a friend or relation of the debtor to buy up the bankrupt's debts generally at a discount, and assign them over to the bankrupt. In *Re Burnett* (42 W. R. 368) the court refused to treat such an arrangement as a payment in full. In a very recent case, where the bankrupt's father bought the largest debt for ten shillings in the pound and paid all the other debts in full, and, having taken assignments, gave absolute releases to his son, the court declined to treat the case on the footing that the debts had been paid in full. It looks, therefore, as if the court would not be satisfied in these cases except by actual payment of twenty shillings in the pound, and that none of the arrangements so commonly proposed by which debts may be bought up and paid off at a discount will avail the bankrupt.

But, although the discretion which the court can exercise in the case of the rescission of a receiving order is far wider than that which it can exercise on an application to annul the adjudication, still the principles as distinguished from the grounds upon which the discretion ought to be exercised are the same in each case. These common principles have been the subject of discussion in numerous cases. They are based mainly on what may be broadly stated as grounds of public policy. It will be convenient to deal with them under separate heads.

Consent of creditors.—Although all the creditors assent it does not follow that a receiving order will be rescinded: *Re Wemyss* (32 W. R. 1002). The court will, if it thinks necessary, protect creditors against their own folly, and will, moreover, have regard to the interests of any future creditors whom a man may have if he gets out of the control of the court: *Re Hester* (6 Morrell 85). Events in some recent notable bankruptcies have shown that it is by no means unnecessary to protect creditors against the persuasive eloquence of plausible, smooth-tongued bankrupts. On the other hand the absence of consent of the creditors would probably be fatal to the success of an application to rescind a receiving order or annul an adjudication.

Payment of debts in full.—Alike when applying for res-

cission under section 104, and annulment under section 35, the fact that the debts have been paid in full is not conclusive on the question whether the court shall make the order asked for. In both cases the court will consider all the circumstances connected with the bankruptcy, and whether it is in the interests of commercial morality to set the debtor free: *Re Gyll* (37 W. R. 164). In a very recent case, *Re Taylor* (49 W. R. 510), the court refused to annul the adjudication, although all debts had been paid in full, because the debtor had been guilty of concealing assets from the official receiver.

Abuse of process of the court.—A receiving order will be rescinded or an adjudication annulled in any case in which the procedure of the court has been invoked in order to enable the debtor to gain some indirect advantage, and not for the legitimate purposes of bankruptcy law. In such a case the adjudication can be annulled because within the words of section 35 it "ought never to have been made." So where a debtor adopted a scheme by which he kept incurring debts, and then presenting his petition to avoid a committal order, the court rescinded the receiving order: *Re Betts* (49 W. R. 447).

The above are some of the considerations which move the court upon applications of this kind. It is clear that no scheme however ingenious which has for its object the getting rid of bankruptcy proceedings has any chance of success unless it can stand the somewhat severe tests outlined above. At the same time there is considerably more latitude allowed to the debtor upon an application to rescind a receiving order than upon an application to annul an adjudication. It behoves debtors, therefore, if they have any chance of making an arrangement with their creditors, to set it in motion as soon as possible before adjudication takes place.

REVIEWS.

PROBATE PRACTICE.

COOTE'S COMMON FORM PRACTICE AND TRISTRAM'S CONTENTIOUS PRACTICE IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE IN GRANTING PROBATES AND ADMINISTRATIONS. THIRTEENTH EDITION. By THOMAS HUTCHINSON TRISTRAM, K.C., D.C.L. THE COMMON FORM PORTION revised by HENRY A. JENNER, District Probate Registrar at Chester. Butterworth & Co.

We regret that this new edition of the well-known work dealing with both common form and contentious practice should not have been earlier noticed. It contains a satisfactory treatise on the law and full appendices of statutes, rules, and forms. The index might perhaps with advantage be expanded. We subjoin a few comments in detail, with the proviso that in general we have found the treatise both full and correct. At p. 468 there is an unfortunate misprint of "admissible" for "inadmissible," the decision being correctly stated at p. 421. The case in question (*Atkinson v. Morris*) might with advantage have been referred to (with *Sugden v. Lord St. Leonards*) at p. 118, note (d), as also Lord Herschell's judgment in *Woodward v. Goulstone* (35 W. R. 337, 11 App. Cas. 469). At p. 122, note (n), dealing with the position of an attorney administrator, the editors rightly disregard the case of *De la Vieca v. Lubbock* (10 Sim. 629), which was not followed by *Cosens-Hardy, J.*, in the recent case of *Re Rendell* (49 W. R. 131; 1901, 1 Ch. 230). At p. 40, in speaking of the general probate granted to a married woman's executor, we miss a reference to the beneficial interest of the husband, which is not interfered with: see *Smart v. Tranter* (38 W. R. 530, 43 Ch. D. 587). At p. 413, as to Lord Kingsdown's Act, and the validity of wills of British subjects made abroad, the case of *Re Kirwan's Trusts* (32 W. R. 581, 25 Ch. D. 373) should have been noticed, where Kay, L.J., held such a will, made without witnesses, not to be an effectual exercise of a power which expressly required an attested will. This has been lately followed by *Byrne, J.*, in *Barretto v. Young* (1900, 2 Ch. 339). The cases of *D'Huart v. Harkness* (13 W. R. 513, 34 Beav. 324) and *Re Price* (48 W. R. 378; 1900, 1 Ch. 442) have decided such wills to be good under testamentary powers not expressly requiring particular formalities, notwithstanding section 10 of the Wills Act. The converse case of a will of a foreigner domiciled abroad being, though otherwise void, sufficient to execute a power, is referred to very briefly at p. 142 of the treatise, where the case of — *v. Huber* (1896, P. 209) might have been cited.

Lord Halsbury completed his seventy-sixth year on Tuesday.

CORRESPONDENCE.

REGISTRATION OF TITLE AND RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—May I point out a peril to which the practice of the Land Registry gives rise.

A. prior to the coming into force of the Act conveys land to B. subject to restrictive covenants.

After the Act comes into force B. conveys to C., who of course covenants to observe the covenants contained in the conveyance to B., but on carrying in his deed for registration the registrar makes no entry on the register of the restrictive covenants, because they are contained in a deed prior to registration, though the registered deed gives notice of their existence.

A client of mine recently purchased from C., and in the instrument of transfer as prepared a reference was made to the covenants, but the registrar struck it out, and on my receiving the new land certificate I find no mention made of them.

On my calling the attention of the registry officials to this, they say that it is quite unnecessary, and point to the note on the certificate as to the title being possessory only.

I quite agree that at present no prudent person would accept a land certificate as a good root of title, and an investigation of title would lead to a disclosure of the covenants.

It has, however, been understood that with the lapse of time land certificates will become good roots of title, but this is not the case if restrictive covenants of which notice is given in a registered deed are kept off the title.

If there were no registration each successive conveyance would refer to the covenants, and notice of them would so be kept alive indefinitely, but the absence of any notice on the register leaves a future purchaser helpless.

It is true that the registry officials say that they will put notice of the covenants on the certificate if a further fee is paid. But why should the holder of a certificate pay a fee for what can do him no possible good in order to ensure protection to a future purchaser.

I do not contend that the covenants should be set out, but it seems to me that the certificate should state that the land is subject to the covenants contained in an indenture of the day.

It would seem that it will be necessary for all time to make a requisition for the production of the last deed prior to registration in order to protect a purchaser against this danger.

21, College-hill, E.C., Aug. 17.

ERNEST J. WILDE.

[See observations under "Current Topics."—Ed. S.J.]

SERVICE OF ORDER FOR DISCOVERY.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—My firm are defendants' agents in a common law action in which the order for directions includes mutual discovery in the following words (being the usual printed form): "That the plaintiff and defendants do, respectively, after delivery of defence, and within ten days after service of copy receipt for deposit in court, answer on affidavit stating what documents are or have been in their possession or power relating to the matters in question in this action." Plaintiff's agents have the original order; I have only the copy served by them.

Wishing to enforce the order against the plaintiff, I have attended at the Central Office to bespeak an office copy, which I could hold as tantamount to the original and from which to make a copy for service, with the usual warning endorsed. I am met by the reply, "These orders are not recorded and no office copy can be given." All I can do is to serve a copy of the copy served upon me, but where should I be if I wanted to enforce the order by attachment or by dismissing the action for want of prosecution?

Perhaps, under the new practice, I am not bound to serve a copy at all—see the wording of the order.

If you will kindly help to elucidate my position, I shall be much obliged, and if the hardship is real I will write to the master and point out the faulty routine which brings about the difficulty.

London, Aug. 28.

"ORDER THIRTY."

LEGAL NEWS.

OBITUARY.

A correspondent of the *Times* writes: On Saturday last a representative group of Anglo-Australians gathered at Brookwood Cemetery round the grave of the late Hon. SEPTIMUS ALFRED STEPHEN. He was born in 1842, and he died at Newbury on the 28th of August, after an illness of about twelve months. Himself a distinguished lawyer, and born and bred an Australian, he came of a celebrated legal stock. The son of the late Sir Alfred Stephen, Chief Justice and Lieutenant-Governor of New South

Wales, grandson of Mr. Justice Stephen, of the West Indies, and cousin of the late Sir James Fitzjames Stephen, he had for some years the largest legal practice as a solicitor in Australia. After representing Canterbury, New South Wales, in the Legislative Assembly for about five years, he was a member of the Upper House for about sixteen years. An able speaker, he made a strong effort shortly before coming to England in the New South Wales Parliament to get the colony to adopt Sir William Harcourt's Act, whereby the charge of estate duty in England and New South Wales on the same estate would be avoided. But he was not successful in passing his Bill. He also took an active part in the Anglican Synod. He was partner in the firms of Messrs. Stephen, Jacques, & Stephen, of Sydney, and Messrs. Stephen & Slade, London, and was a director of the Australian Mortgage Land and Finance Co. On this side he was an active Australian representative, and recently read a paper at the Royal Colonial Institute on the progress of New South Wales. Mr. Stephen was a whole-hearted sportsman, and formerly a very keen four-in-hand whip. In London he was a member of the Oriental and City Carlton Clubs, and hon. member of the Junior United Service Club. In 1864 he married the daughter of the Hon. Robert Campbell, of New South Wales, who survives him, and he leaves five sons and two daughters. Mr. Stephen will be long remembered for his conversational powers and his kindness of heart by a large circle of friends both in Sydney and in London.

CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIP.

DISSOLUTIONS.

THOMAS HOLME BOWER and FRANCIS PARKES, solicitors (Bower & Parkes), 52, Lincoln's-inn-fields, London, W.C. Aug. 31.

HENRY MORTEN COTTON and GEORGE HOLME BOWER, solicitors (Bower, Cotton, & Bower), 4, Bream's-buildings, Chancery-lane. Aug. 31.

ALFRED LEIGHTON SAYER and H. S. COLT, solicitors, Hastings. Aug. 31. [Gazette, Sept. 3.]

GENERAL.

At the meeting of the Association of Chambers of Commerce at Nottingham on Wednesday Mr. Portway (Leeds) moved: "That, having regard to the views expressed on the subject of the rating of machinery by the report of the Royal Commissioners on local taxation and their approval of the Bill which has on several occasions passed the second reading of the House of Commons, strenuous efforts be made by the association to secure the passage of the Bill into law next session. And that with this view the Government be requested to make the Bill a Government measure." Mr. Stiebell (Nottingham), in seconding the motion, said the matter was of special importance to Nottingham. In other countries when new industries were established people were only too glad to give land to the factories and to free those factories from taxes for ten or twenty years in order to keep that industry in the country. Yet here, in this country, with competition on all sides, and with a Parliament and a supine Government who seemed to wish to injure industry, Chambers of Commerce had passed the resolution until they were sick of hearing about it. The motion was carried.

At the annual licensing sessions for the Sittingbourne Petty Sessional division of the county on Monday, says the *Daily News*, the Chief Constable of Kent objected to the renewal of no less than forty-four licences, including nineteen at Sheerness and fifteen at Sittingbourne and Milton. The only ground of objection was the close proximity of the houses to other licensed houses. The establishments objected to included the Bull, Sittingbourne; the Fountain Hotel, the Wellington Hotel, and the Railway Hotel, Sheerness. Mr. H. P. Bodkin (Recorder of Dover) appeared for the owners and tenants of thirty houses, and quoted the opinion of Sir William Lucius Selfe (chairman of the East Kent Quarter Sessions), that, although the number of licensed houses might be considerably in excess of the number required, yet, where a house had been licensed for several years, it would not be just to remove its licence upon the ground of there being too many houses for the neighbourhood's need, except upon some ground specially applicable to the house itself. A test case was taken, and the whole of the licences were renewed, the bench taking the same view as the Dartford and Chatham justices had held in similar cases brought by the chief constable this year.

At the Trade Union Congress at Swansea the following resolution was unanimously adopted: "That in view of the decision in the House of Lords in the *Taff Vale* case, rendering the funds of societies liable in damages for the illegal acts of their agents, this Congress empowers the Parliamentary Committee to take a test case to the House of Lords to ascertain how far picketing may be carried on without infringing the law and rendering the funds of the societies liable for damages; and further, that a fund should be established for the purpose, in the first place, of carrying this out, and as it appears from recent cases in court that employers and the various insurance societies acting on their behalf are endeavouring to establish principles of law against trade unionism generally by attacking societies numerically and financially weak, the fund should be available for resisting such principles, where it is endeavoured to establish them against the trade unionists of the country, and for protecting the interests of trade unions generally. Further, that each society should so amend its rules that as far as possible protection may be obtained against some of the consequences of the decision in the *Taff Vale* case. And urges each society to use its best endeavours to bring about such alteration in the law as will meet with the approval of this Congress."

At the Faversham Borough Brewster Sessions on Wednesday, says the *Times*, the chief constable of Kent, who had given notice that he would

object to the renewal of no fewer than twenty-five of the fifty licences in the borough, withdrew his objections, and the whole of the licences were accordingly renewed. The mayor of the borough (Mr. F. Austin) said that the magistrates regretted the inconvenience and expense which the licence-holders had been put to through the issuing of the notices of objection, and they thoroughly agreed with the chairman of the East Kent Quarter Sessions (Sir W. Selfe) when he said that the number of licensed houses might be considerably in excess of the number required, but where the licences had been held for several years it would not be just to remove them on the ground that there were too many houses for the neighbourhood, except upon some ground more applicable to the houses themselves. Mr. Rutley Mowll, of Dover, said that, as representing the interests of the licence-holders in the whole of the cases objected to, he desired to protest against the vexatious manner in which the objections had been preferred and the frivolous way in which the whole of the opposition by the chief constable had been conducted. There had been no selection whatever. Licences had been objected to on a wholesale scale, and the character of houses of the best standing had been impugned. It was most vexatious that these objections should be preferred and withdrawn at the last moment, and that he was not in a position to ask for costs. The brewers and people interested in licensed property were thereby subjected to very considerable expense without reason. He thought the chief constable had abused the high office he held by the manner in which the objections had been preferred. The mayor said notice of the protest would be made.

Thomas Lawrence Galmoye, of 16, Glasshouse-street, Regent-street, was, says the *Daily News*, summoned at Marlborough-street on Monday, before Mr. Denman, for having unlawfully pretended that he was qualified to act as a solicitor; and Hamilton Edward Pawley, 41, no occupation, living in Thicket-road, Anerley, was charged on a warrant with having aided and abetted Galmoye to commit the offence. Mr. Travers Humphreys, barrister, prosecuted on behalf of the Incorporated Law Society; Mr. F. J. Colman, barrister, defended Galmoye; and counsel whose name did not transpire appeared for Pawley. Mr. Travers Humphreys in opening the case, said that both the defendants were summoned in the first instance, but difficulty was experienced in serving the summons on Pawley, who could not be found. A warrant was, therefore, obtained for his arrest. Galmoye was a solicitor, but ceased to take out his certificate at the end of 1896. He next took out one on the 31st of October, 1900, which expired on the 15th of November the same year. Galmoye did not renew the certificate. The offence alleged was that of acting in the interval, when he had no certificate, as a solicitor in an action in the High Court. With regard to Pawley, his case was looked upon as a bad one. He was admitted as solicitor in 1883, but had not taken out a certificate since 1896. In 1899 he took two rooms at 16, Glasshouse-street, and practised there as "Wire & Co.," solicitors. Subsequently Galmoye went there, and the plate of Wire & Co was taken down, and the words "Galmoye & Co." substituted. But Pawley continued to carry on the business, and in the action referred to was the only person seen. Everything in the office seemed to belong to Pawley, who continued to rent the rooms and carry on in addition the business of an estate agent. Evidence bearing out counsel's statement was given. It was urged in defence that Galmoye only employed Pawley as managing clerk, the latter simply acting under his instructions, and doing nothing but clerk's work. Any offence that might have been committed was merely a technical one. Galmoye did not make anything out of the action that had been referred to. Mr. Travers Humphreys mentioned that last year Pawley was fined at that court the full penalty of £10 for acting as a solicitor under the name of Wire & Co. Mr. Denman, in giving his decision, said it was perfectly plain an offence against the statute had been committed by both defendants. Anybody who had been a solicitor, or had anything to do with solicitors, knew the extreme importance of these statutory regulations entitling a solicitor to practise being strictly complied with, and anyone who had anything to do with legal matters knew the dangers of uncertificated practitioners carrying on the business of a solicitor. It was a very old rule to resort to for a man who had not a certificate himself, for reasons the Incorporated Law Society considered to be sufficient, to call himself managing clerk to someone else, and in his employer's name to practise his own profession. It was clear that what was done here was done by Pawley, who appeared to have carried on the whole proceedings in the action referred to. He was most to blame, and was fully alive to what he was doing. Pawley would be fined £10, with £20 costs, and Galmoye, who was shown to have been anxious to take out his certificate, would have to pay £5, without costs.

WINDING UP NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 30.
JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.
LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

ALBRADY SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Nov 1, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Henry Parker Cressey, 10, Finsbury sq. Goldberg & Co, 2 and 3, West st, Finsbury circus, solers for liquidator.

BRITISH PROGRESS BUNCHING MACHINES CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 25, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John Alfred Hopps, 25, Filar in, Leicester. Haxby & Partridge, Leicester, solers to liquidator.

"CLAYS BAZAARS, LIMITED"—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 1, to send their names and addresses, and full particulars of their debts or claims, to Joseph Braithwaite Childs, Prudential bldgs, Bradford. Farrar & Crowther, Bradford, solers to liquidator.

M. A. NEWBORN & BAINFORTH, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 10, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to Percy Allen Moulton, Regent st, Barnsley.

PRACTICAL TYPEWRITER SYNDICATE FOR EUROPE LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 12, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John Paxton Clarkson, 5 and 6, Bishopsgate st Without.

TOMCOX, LIMITED IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Howard Burton, 83, Colmore row, Birmingham. Edge & Ellison, Birmingham, solers to liquidator.

WINDSOR STEAM COAL CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Henry Lewis, 3, Butte cres, Cardiff.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, SEPT. 3. JOINT STOCK COMPANIES. LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

INDIA RUBBER (MEXICO), LIMITED—Peto for winding up, presented Aug 29, directed to be heard Oct 30. Helder & Co, 3 and 4, Clement's inn, Strand, for Simpson & Simpson, Leeds, solers for petors. Notice of appearing must reach Helder & Co not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Oct 29.

MOSS SIDE HOUSE AND PROPERTY CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 8, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Francis Emanuel Moore Boardman, 63, Brown st, Manchester. Crofton & Co, Manchester, solers to liquidator.

NEWFOUNDLAND FISH INDUSTRIES, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Frank Gardner Fadden, 23, Bucklersbury. Greenwood & Greenwood, Mitre st bldgs, solers to liquidator.

NORTH WALES DEVELOPMENT SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Peto for winding up, presented Aug 27, directed to be heard Oct 30. Burgess & Taylor, 1, New sq, Lincoln's inn, solers for petors. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Oct 29.

SHIP "ALADDIN" CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 1, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John R. Haws, 16, South Castle st, Liverpool. Forshaw & Hawkins, Liverpool, solers for liquidator.

THE PROPERTY MART.

RESULT OF SALE.

LIFE POLICIES AND DEBENTURES.

Messrs. H. E. FOSTER & GRANFIELD sold the following Policies of Assurance and Debentures at the Mart, E.O., on Thursday last:—

LIFE POLICIES:

For £1,000 in the Scottish Widows' Fund; life 63; annual premium, £38 2s. 6d.; bonus additions, £387 6s. 2d.	Sold	730
For £2,000 in the Equity and Law; life 43; annual premium, £44 8s. 4d.; bonus additions, £181	"	470
For £500 in the Imperial; life 63; annual premium, £8 15s. 6d.	"	22

DEBENTURES:

Cowan & Sons (Ld.),—Four Five per Cent. 1st Mortgage Debentures of £50 each	"	120
---	---	-----

CREDITORS' NOTICES.

UNDER ESTATES IN CHANCERY.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 30.

JOHN, THOMAS TREWHELLA, Wenford House, Exeter Oct 2 Faulkner v John, Cosmo Hardy, J. Treasurer, Saint Ives, Cornwall

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, SEPT. 3.

MACKAY, ALEXANDER JOSEPH, Liverpool, Solicitor Oct 3 Mackay v Mackay, Registrar, Liverpool Wilson, 24, North John st, Liverpool

UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 23.

RENNETT, CHARLES CARROLL, Shanghai, China Merchant Oct 7 Stephenson & Co, Lombard st

BEVILLE, ELIZABETH, Eastbourne Sept 28 Gussotie & Co, Essex st, Strand

BUCKLEY, JAMES MATTHEW, Balham, Wholesale Ironmonger Sept 30 Handley & Co, Warwick

BURGESS, AGNES ANNIE, Sheffield Oct 5 Webster & Strying, Sheffield

CHAPMAN, THOMAS, Ashton Abbas, Essex Sept 28 Richardson & Co, Hadham, Herts

CROCKER, JONATHAN, Sussex pt, Hyde Park Oct 3 Phelps & Co, Aldermansbury

CROFTON, RICHARD FOLIOTT, Norfolk sq, Hyde Park Sept 24 Crofton & Co, Manchester

DENT, ANNE MARIA, Chesham st Sept 30 Lowe & Co, Temple gds

HALSTEAD, HARRIETTE VAUGHAN, Hereford Sept 21 Lloyd & Son, Leominster

HARDLEY, JAMES WILLIAM, Cheltenham Sept 30 Hughes & Brown, Worcester

HARTLEY, GRACE HANNAH, Thorne, York Sept 28 Lumb, Leeds

HOGGARD, MARY, Bourne mouth Oct 12 R & F Dale, York

HOLLIDAY, JOSEPH FARBRIDGE, Knaresford, Chester, Merchant Oct 9 Ashworth & Jordan, Manchester

HUDSON, MARY, Hartshorne, Derby Sept 21 Goodger & Son, Burton on Trent

HUDSON, WILLIAM, Hartshorne, Derby, Miller Sept 21 Goodger & Son, Burton on Trent

JAMESON, ARTHUR NEWINGTON, Kingston upon Hull Oct 31 Shackles & Dunkerly, Hall

KRAFFER, JOHANN A, Hackney Wick Sept 28 Goldberg & Co, Finsbury circus

MACKENZIE, ALICE, Enfield Sept 30 Clapham & Co, Devonshire sq

MASON, EDWARD, Wednesfield, Stafford Oct 1 T M & F H Whitehouse, Wolsingham

MAY, DAVID THOMAS, Redland, Bristol, Boot Manufacturer Oct 19 Trapnell, Bristol

MOORE, GEORGE MARIA FRABELLA, Rugby Sept 14 Harris & Son, Rugby

NEIL, ROBERT ALEXANDER, Cambridge Oct 1 W H & F Walsh, Oxford

PATTERSON, GEORGE HOPE, New Cross rd, New Cross, Baker Sept 10 Foy & Co, Clifford's inn

PEARSE, MARY, Plympton, Devon Feb 11 Bone & Co, Devonport

PELHAM, EMILY BLANCHER, Fishbourne Chichester Sept 28 Gussotie & Co, Essex st

PENNINGTON, RICHARD LAURENCE, Seaham Harbour, Durham Sept 29 Leman & Co, Lincoln's inn fields

RAWLIN, THOMAS, W. 14 on Deane, York, Confectioner Oct 1 Dransfield & Hodgkinson, Penrith, Sheffield

RICHARDS, THOMAS, Wimbleson, Cambridge Sept 30 King & Sharnham, March

SCHOLEY, MARGARET MARIA, Clapham Sept 28 J & R Gole, Lime st

SCOTT, MARTHA, Plymouth Sept 17 Lane & Cottier, Plymouth

SHARP, EDGAR, Sutton Benger, Wilt, Licensed Victualler Sept 27 Forrester & Mott, Malmesbury

SHALLWOOD, WILLIAM, Alcester, Warwick Oct 7 Satter & Co, Stratford upon Avon

SMITH, BENJAMIN HEFORTH, Halifax, York, Insurer Sept 30 Fisher, Huddersfield

SMITH, JAMES KEIGHLEY, York, Grocer Sept 5 Lister & Turner, Keighley

SMITH, MARY PESTELL, Maidenhead Oct 1 Stockbury, Maidenhead

SMITH, ROWLAND, Derby Sept 16 Moody & Woolley, Derby
 FRELSON, HENRY, Chester, Corn Factor Sept 19 Evans, Chester
 CHARLES, JAMES, Horsforth, York Oct 31 Ford & Warren, Leeds
 STOTT, SAMUEL HOWARD, Higher Broughton, Salford, Yarn Agent Sept 21 Mercer, Manchester
 TOWNSEND, MARY JANE, Dewsbury Sept 11 Stead, Leeds
 WISEMAN, HELEN, COLVILLE, Ebury Sept 30 Fowler, Old Serjeants' inn
 WESTONLAND, JOHN, Huxton, Lancs Oct 1 Banks & Co, Liverpool
 WHIFFEN, DAVID, Milton next Gravesend, Licensed Victualler Sept 16 Stevens & Co, Gravesend
 WILSON, ALEXANDER WALKER, Manchester Sept 28 Page, Manchester
 WITHERS, FRANCIS JAMES, Lulworth, Somerset, Farmer Sept 3 Ponsford & Co, Wiltton
 WITHERINGTON, JOHN, Pendleton, Lancs, Metal Merchant Sept 30 Bowden & Liversay, Manchester
 WOODHOUSE, FRANCIS JOHN, Falmouth Sept 29 Longbourne & Co, Lincoln's inn fields
 LONDON GAZETTE.—TUESDAY, AUG. 27.
 ATKINSON, MARY LOUISA, Sheffield Sept 25 Wilson, Sheffield
 BARNESLEY, AMOS, Grange over Sands, Lancs, Doctor Nov 11 Jackson & Sons, Ulverston
 BRIGHAM, REGINALD FERRENGINE POTTINGER, Chesham pl Oct 5 Bircham & Co, Parliament st, Westminster
 BYRD, JOHAN JOACHIM MEYER, Withington, Lancs, Merchant Sept 27 Slater & Co, Manchester
 BUTTERWORTH, JOHN, Burnley, Lancs, Ironfounder Sept 30 Southern & Fulllove, Burnley
 CARTER, WILLIAM HENRY, Liverpool Oct 7 Sampson & Co, Liverpool
 CASHALL, ANNE MARIA, Rinfold, Berks Oct 13 H & C Olline, Reading
 CATES, ARTHUR, York ter, Regent's Park Sept 28 Hopwoods & Dowson, Spring gdms
 COOPER, ALFRED, Manchester, Builder Sept 27 Slater & Co, Manchester
 DAY, RICHARD, Dewsbury, Blacksmith Oct 1 Chadwick & Sons, Dewsbury
 DENHAM, CHARLES, Exeter Sept 30 J & S P Pope, Exeter
 DETHMAR, ALFRED JOSEPH, Gloucester pl, Fortman sq Sept 28 Westbury & Co, Old Broad st
 DODD, ALICE, Manchester Oct 1 Cobbett & Co, Manchester
 DRYER, MARY LYDIA, Long Acre Sept 23 Hudson, Ely pl, Holborn
 FELLOWS, FREDERICK BURNINGTON, Jersey Oct 1 Radcliffe & Co, Craven st, Charing Cross
 FORD, JAMES GEORGE, Ryde, I of W Sept 27 Smith & Co, Aldergate st
 GREEN, HENRY, Sheffield, Draper Sept 30 Howe, Sheffield
 GRIFFITHS, ELLIS BROSTER, Wilmalov, Chester, Farmer Oct 15 Markland & Whitehead, Manchester
 HARDY, GEORGE WILLIAM FAULKNER, Loughton, Essex, Ribbon Manufacturer Sept 29 Ladbury & Tatham, Budge row
 HIGGSDUTTON, JAMES, Salford, Coal Merchant Oct 15 Markland & Whitehead, Manchester
 HILTON, HANNAH, O'dham Sept 28 Taylor, Oldham
 HOLMES, HENRY, Burnley, Labourer Nov 22 Dyke, Lancaster pl
 HOLLOWAY, MARY ANNE, Holloway Sept 29 Knight, South sq, Gray's inn
 HOWARD, MARIA, Bowdon, Chester Oct 1 Tallent-Bateman & Thwaites, Manchester
 HOWES, JOHN WILLIAM ORMOND, Cheltenham Sept 30 Steel, Cheltenham
 HUNT, ANN, Stenud Sept 21 Stuart, Stenud
 HYDE, FILAS WILLIAM, Salisbury, Silversmith Sept 18 Whitehead, Salisbury
 JACOB, ANNE, York Sept 30 Holby & Proctor, York
 JOYNER, JOHN, Cheltenham Oct 1 Farringer, Cheltenham
 KERHAW, JACOB, Middleton, Gardener Sept 27 Ripley, Rochdale
 KENDERS, HENRY, Sheffield Sept 29 Rodgers & Co, Sheffield
 KING, ALICE, Portlady, Sussex Oct 22 Fitzhugh & Co, Brighton
 KIRKMAN, JANE HURRY, Liverpool Oct 1 Bremner & Co, Liverpool
 LEWIS, ALFRED JAMES, Newhaven, Hotel Manager Sept 29 Bedford, Newhaven
 MACHWITZ, WILHELM EDGBASTON, Birmingham Sept 30 Johnsons & Co, Birmingham
 MAYHEW, CHARLES, Manchester, Plumber Oct 15 Markland & Whitehead, Manchester
 MOORE, ALFRED WILLIAM, Streetford, Manchester Oct 15 Markland & Whitehead, Manchester
 PARKER, RACHEL, Iford Sept 30 Hunt & Co, Romford
 PATT, AGNES, Lachford, Warrington Sept 30 Wall, Wigan
 PATTERSON, GEORGE HOPE, New Cross, Baker Sept 10 Foy & Co, Clifford's inn, Fleet st
 PRESCOTT, JAMES, Leigh, Lancs, Tailor Sept 21 Marsh & Co, Leigh
 RAE, MARGARET, Liverpool Nov 23 Dyke, Lancaster pl
 REEVE, HENRY, Worthing Sept 29 Mitchell, Worthing
 RICHARDSON, ALFRED JAMES, Boby, Liverpool, Butler Nov 22 Dyke, Lancaster pl
 RUDWAY, JOHN HADLEY, Edgbaston, Butcher Oct 10 Baden, Birmingham
 RUSSELL, JAMES SHELDON, Draper Sept 30 Howe, Sheffield
 SEDGWICK, WILLIAM, Leeds, Wire Worker Oct 1 W & S H Foster, Leeds
 STEPHENSON, WILLIAM, Dalton in Furness, Railway Gate Keeper Dyke, Lancaster pl
 THURBY, SIR JOHN HARDY, Burnley Sept 30 Southern & Fulllove, Burnley
 WARD, ROBERT, Chilton st, Euston rd, Licensed Victualler Sept 29 Sandom & Co, Gracechurch st
 WARREN, JOHN BENNETT, Loughborough, Milliner Oct 1 Hands, Loughborough

WILLIAMS, WILLIAM, Bangor, Surgeon Sept 29 Jones, Bangor
 WILSON, WILFRID CAMPBELL, Grosvenor sq Oct 5 Bircham & Co, Parliament st, Westminster

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 30.

BANKHURST, WINKFIELD WINDSOR, Sherborne, Dorset Sept 30 Bartlett & Sons, Sherborne
 BUCKNALL, EDGAR ALLEN, Whitchurch, Haats, Shipwreck Sept 18 Ince & Co, Fear-church st
 CAPES, GEORGINA, Egerton grins Oct 12 E & K J Hough, Carlisle
 CHANDLER, JANE, Leathwaite rd, Battersea rise Sept 29 Sloper & Co, Wandsworth
 CRAWFORD, ENOCH ROBERT, Putney, Licensed Victualler Sept 30 Coldham, New inn Strand
 CROCKFORD, HOWARD LEOPOLD, Sutton Coldfield, Warwick Sept 30 Crockford, Birmingham
 CUNLIFFE, DAVID, Widnes Sept 28 Haywood & Co, Manchester
 DALLAN, DANIEL, Cobham Oct 5 Jupp, Lime st
 DALLY, RICHARD, Plymouth Sept 30 Byrd & Pearce, Plymouth
 DORRINGTON, BALLHARRIET, Bradford, Manchester Nov 23 Hewitt & Son, Manchester
 FARRINGTON, HASTINGS D'OTLEY, Layham, Suffolk Sept 30 Newman, Radleigh, Suffolk
 FAULL, JOHN, Weedon, Cornwal, Carpenter Sept 30 Tyacke, Helston, Cornwall
 FAULL, THOMAS, Wendron, Cornwal, Carpenter Sept 30 Tyacke, Helston, Cornwall
 FISHER, SARAH, Baysdale Sept 30 Toller & Co, Barnstable
 FLEWITT, ARTHUR WEBSTER, Nottingham, Pawnbroker Oct 12 Walker & Hanson, Nottingham
 FOX, SOLOMON, Wirksworth, Derby, Builder Oct 1 Shipton, Burton
 GARDNER, THOMAS, Adderbury, Oxford Sept 30 Apin & Co, Banbury
 GARRIDE, ANN, Birmingham Sept 9 Rowlands & Co, Birmingham
 GLOVEY, JONATHAN, Lamerton, Devon Sept 30 Johnston, Tavistock
 GREENWOOD, ANNE, Little Fenton, nr South Milford, Yorks Sept 30 Rhodes, Sherburn in Elmet
 HALLAM, ELIZABETH, Harborough Magna, Warwick Dec 2 Pulman, Rugby
 HARRIS, WILLIAM, Epsom, Chemist Sept 30 Wilson, Epsom
 HARLUC, WALTER, Ealing, Jeweller Oct 12 Letts Bros, Bartlett's bldg, Holborn circus
 HEDDEN, JOHN, Barkston Ash, nr Tadcaster, Yorks Sept 30 Rhodes, Sherburn in Elmet
 HENRIK, SARAH, Maryport, Cumberland Oct 14 Tyson & Hobson, Maryport
 HOGGARD, MARY, Bournemouth Oct 12 R & P Dale, York
 HOLDS, JOHN, Liverpool, Builder Oct 1 Rudd, Liverpool
 JAMES, WALTER BOUCHER, Ely pl, Holborn ci. us, Solicitor Oct 8 James & James, Ely pl, Holborn circus
 JOHNSON, HENRY, Heaton Norris, Lancs, Corn Merchant Sept 30 Walker, New Mills, nr Stockport
 LEE, ELIZABETH, Rhude Cross, Launceston, Cornwall Oct 1 Gurney & Foster-Melliar, Stratton
 LILLY, JOHN, Sutton Coldfield, Warwick, Hoster Sept 30 Crockford, Birmingham
 LUDLOW, ALFRED, Wyde Green, Warwick Sept 30 Crockford, Birmingham
 MACIVER, ROBERT RANKIN, Liverpool Sept 30 Batesons & Co, Liverpool
 MOORE, MICHAEL, Beckenham, Coal Merchant Sept 30 Willett & Lister, Bromley
 MOORE, JANE, Beckenham Sept 30 Willett & Lister, Bromley
 TOMES, GEORGE, Bromsgrove, Yeoman Sept 30 Mott, Bromsgrove
 ROBINSON, LOUIS PHILIP, Jernynst st, St James' Nov 23 Newton & Co, Gt Marlborough st, Regent st
 ROWE, FELICIA ELIZABETH, Chiswick Sept 30 Bennett, Banbury
 SEVILLE, JOHN, Saddleworth, Yorks Sept 23 Tanner, Oldham
 SMITH, WILLIAM HAWKES, Newcastle on Tyne, Shipbroker Sept 30 Smith, Harrow
 SPINNEY, ELIZA, Mansfield Woodhouse, Notts Sept 23 Alcock, Mansfield
 STOKES, HENRIETTA, Edgbaston Sept 28 Crockford, Birmingham
 THIRLWALL, PHILIP, Carlisle Sept 14 Wansop & Westmorland, Carlisle
 TOMES, GEORGE, Bromsgrove, Yeoman Sept 30 Mott, Bromsgrove
 TOMLINSON, HENRY, Erdington, Warwick, Commissioner Agent Sept 30 Crockford, Birmingham
 WARD, EBERNEZER, Wandsworth Common, Publisher Oct 1 Asturst & Co, Throgmorton st
 WARE, THOMAS SOFTLY, Tottenham, Nurseryman Sept 24 Martin & Co, King st, Cheapside
 WARRINGTON, WILLIAM THOMAS, Rusholme, Manchester, Butcher Sept 7 Chambers, Leeson, nr Manchester
 WILSON, CHARLES, Salford, Bradford Oct 1 Farrar & Croxther, Bradford
 WINGFIELD, EDWARD RHYE, Prince's gdms, Knightsbridge Oct 3 Warren, Gt Russell st

WARNING TO INTENDING HOUSE PURCHASERS AND LESSORS.—Before purchasing or renting a house have the Sanitary Arrangements thoroughly Tested and Reported upon by an Expert from The Sanitary Engineering Co. (H. Carter, C.E., Manager), 65, Victoria-street, Westminster. Fee quoted on receipt of full particulars. Established 25 years. Telegrams, "Sanitation," London. Telephone, "No. 316 Westminster."—[ADVT.]

BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 30.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ANTAL BARCH & SON, Regent st, Jewellers High Court Pet July 29 Ord Aug 26
 BLATCHFORD, GEORGE, Clydach Vale, Glam, Mason Pontypriid Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 BROADBENT, WALTER, St Leonards on Sea, Fly Proprietor Hastings Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 24
 BROOKS, JOSEPH WILLIAM HERBERT, Leeds Leeds Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 BYANT, GEORGINA CAROLINE, Wolverhampton, China Dealer Wolverhampton Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24
 BYANT, JOHN EBERNEZER, Wolverhampton, China Dealer's Manager Wolverhampton Pet Aug 24
 BURDON, WALTER JAMES, Upper Thames st High Court Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 26
 CAY, ROBERT JAMES, East Putney, Solicitor Wandsworth Pet July 31 Ord Aug 27
 CHILCOTT, JAMES, Kingston on Thames, Tailor Kingston Surrey Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 COLE & SON, W, Wandsworth, Fat Boilers Wandsworth Pet July 31 Ord Aug 27
 COLLIERSON, JOHN YOUNG, Hastings, Builder Hastings Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 COLLINS, WALTER, Walsall, Cycle Manufacturer Walsall Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23
 COOK, GEORGE, Whitley Bay, Northumberland, Commission Agent Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 27
 DOWNEY, JOHN GRAHAM, Howling Bank, nr Wigton, Cumberland, Market Gardener Carlisle Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 27
 EDWARDS, FREDERICK, Oxford, Coal Merchant Oxford Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 GARTRIDE, MALPI, Brierfield, Lancs, Grocer Burnley Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27

GOLDSPIKE, FRANK HARRY, Fremont st, South Hackney, Printer High Court Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 HALL, EDWARD PHILLIP, Salisbury, Wilts, Baker's Assistant Salisbury Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 HILL, HARRY, Kirkstall, Leeds, Painter Leeds Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 KAYE, JOSEPH, Potter Hill, nr Sheffield, Colliery Bankman Barnsley Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 KEMP, WILLIAM, Brighton, Laundry Manager Brighton Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 KINNEY, THOMAS EVAN, Llandinam, Montgomery, Farm Bailiff Newtown Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 MALWIN, HERMAN, and MORIS MALWIN, Lower Broughton, Salford, Job Merchants Manchester Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 MIAL, EMILY, South Croydon Canterbury Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 MILES, FREDERICK GEORGE, Redenhall, Norfolk, Farmer Ipswich Pet July 5 Ord Aug 23
 MITCHELL, SIDNEY, Sheffield, Carter Sheffield Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 MORRIS, HENRY SHERRWOOD, Walbrook, Merchant High Court Pet Dec 8 Ord Aug 28
 NOWELL, NICHOLAS, Ramsgate, Fishmonger Canterbury Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24
 NURSE, ANN CAROLINE, Leather arcade, Strand, Toy Dealer High Court Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 OGDON, CHARLES EDWARD, Heyham, Lancs, Stationer Preston Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 OWEN, WILLIAM, Ragswain, Glam, Collier Aberystwyth Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 PHILLIPS, JOHN, West Bromwich, Coal Factor West Bromwich Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 24
 PRINCE, FREDERICK O'CONNOR, Currier st, Chancery in, Patent agent High Court Pet Aug 3 Ord Aug 28
 RAINE, WILLIAM, Heme, Yorks, Island Revenue Clerk Kingston upon Hull Pet July 31 Ord Aug 27

RICHARDS, TIMOTHY, Canton, Cardiff Aberystwyth Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 28
 ROBBINS, JAMES NUN, Barry, Glam, Stonemason Cardiff Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 ROBINSON, GEORGE HERBERT, Cobridge, Staffs, Engineer Hanley Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 ROSENSTRAUCH, AARON, Gt Castle st, Insurance Agent High Court Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 RUTHER, JOHN, Bute Docks, Cardiff, Shipowner Cardiff Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 27
 SALT, THOMAS, Hanley, Newsagent Hanley Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 SNOOK, JAMES, Brynmawr, Brecknock, Ironmonger Tredegar Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 27
 SPATLING, EDWARD HANGER, Deal, Grocer Canterbury Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 STEAD, CHARLES ELLIS, Dutchess, Leeds Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 SWINSON, WALTER, Nottingham, Sawyer Nottingham Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 WARE, R J WILMOT, shindfield Vicarage, nr Reading Reading Pet July 8 Ord Aug 24
 WILLIAMS, IEROPHILUS BARRY, Glam, Grocer Cardiff Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 27
 WILSON, HENRY, Birmingham, Baker Birmingham Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 WYATT, JOHN, Norwich, Bird Dealer Norwich Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 ZUCKERMAN, SHADSY, Whitechapel rd, Furniture Dealer High Court Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28

FIRST MEETINGS.

AIKIN, ALFRED, Ardwick, Manchester, Brumfounder Sept 6 at 8 Off Rec. Byrom st, Manchester
 ANTAL BARCH & SON, Regent st, Jewellers Sept 6 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

BALL, WILLIAM, Highampton, Devon, Farmer Sept 6 at 11 6 Athenium ter, Plymouth
 BROOKS, JOSEPH WILLIAM HERBERT, Leeds Sept 6 at 12 30
 11 Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 BUDON, WALTER JAMES, Upper Thames at 11
 Bankruptcy bldg. Carey at
 CRESSFORD, WILLIAM DOVER, Hotel Proprietor Sept 6 at 3 30
 The Esplanade Hotel, Dover
 COATES, ROBERT MUGGERAY, Scarborough, Solicitor Sept 6
 at 19 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 DUFFY, PATRICK, Rotham, Yorks, Grocer Sept 11 at 1
 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 GILLMAN, EBERHARD, Newport, Mon Sept 9 at 12 Off Rec,
 Westgate chambers, Newport, Mon
 GONTY, ETIENNE, and FREDERICK BENOIT GONTY, Leicester,
 Dyers Sept 10 at 12 30 Off Rec, 1, Bridge st,
 Leicester
 GRAMHAM ARTHUR, Chesham, Manchester, Joiner Sept 6 at
 2 30 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester
 HALL, EDWARD PHILLIP, Salisbury, Baker's Assistant Sept
 7 at 12 30 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 HAYWOOD, FRED, Leicester, Book Manufacturer Sept 6 at
 12 Off Rec, 1, Bridge st, Leicester
 HILL, HARRY, Kirtall, Leeds, Painter Sept 6 at 11 30 Off
 Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 HUNT, VINCENT THOMAS, Thripp, nr Stroud, Glos Sept 7
 at 3 Off Rec, Station rd, Gloucester
 ILLAN, GEORGE, Merton, Lancs, Greengrocer Sept 6 at
 3 30 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester
 LIGHTFOOT, EDWIN EMMETT, Luton, Straw Hat Manu-
 facturer Sept 7 at 11 30 Chamber of Commerce, 53,
 George st, Luton
 LILLY, THOMAS JAMES, Fulham, Builder Sept 6 at 12
 Bankruptcy bldg. Carey at
 MACLEOD, EMMA, Coventry, Hay Dealer Sept 6 at 12
 Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry
 MILES, FREDERICK GEORGE, Red-hall, Norfolk, Farmer
 Sept 6 at 11 15 Merrie Hotel, Harleston
 OATON, SAMUEL THOMAS, Leicester, Licensed Victualler
 Sept 6 at 3 Off Rec, 1, Bridge st, Leicester
 SPEDDING, WILLIAM, Leigh, Lancs, Grocer Sept 6 at 3
 Off Rec, Exchange st, Bolton
 STREAD, CHARLES ELLIS Br-mley, Leeds, Butcher Sept 6 at
 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 THICKETT, HERBERT HENRY, Rochdale, Butcher Sept 10
 at 11 15 Towdhill, Rochdale
 WALLS, DANIEL WILLIAM, Hanley, Grocer Sept 7 at 12
 Off Rec, Newcastle under Lyme
 WILCOX, JAMES HENRY, Pen-y-ryn, Labourer Sept 7 at 3
 Off Rec, 4 Queen st, Carmarthen
 WILLIAMS, FREDERICK JAMES, Gloucester Sept 6 at 3 Off
 Rec, Station rd, Gloucester
 WRIGHT, WALTER, Nonington, Butcher Sept 6 at 12 Off
 Rec, 47, Full st, Derby
 YORATH, HENRY DAVID, Newport, Mon, Brewer Sept 9 at
 12 30 Off Rec, Westgate chambers, Newport, Mon

ADJUDICATIONS.

BAPTISTE, FRANK ST PIERRE, Kenway rd, Earl's Court,
 Ladies' Outfitter Swindon Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 37
 BLATCHFORD, GEORGE, Clydach Vale, Glam, Mason
 Pontypridd Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 BROADHURST, WALTER, St Leonard's on Sea, Fly Proprietor
 Hastings Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 27
 BROOKS, JOSEPH WILLIAM HERBERT, Bradford Leeds
 Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 BRYANT, GEORGINA CAROLINE, Wolverhampton, China
 Dealer Wolverhampton Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24
 BRYANT, JOHN EBERNEZER Wolverhampton, China Dealer's
 Madam Wolverhampton Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 26
 BURTON, JOHN, Swindon, Baker Swindon Pet Aug 3
 Ord Aug 31
 COLLIERSON, JOHN YOUNG, Hastings, Builder Hastings
 Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 COLLINS, WALTER, Walsall, Cycle Manufacturer Walsall
 Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23
 EDENS, FREDERICK, Oxford, Coal Merchant Oxford Pet
 Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 GARTSIDE, RALPH Brierfield, Lancs, Greengrocer Burnley
 Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 GOLDFINK, FRANK HARRY, Burton st, Doctors' Commons,
 Printer High Court Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 HALL, EDWARD PHILLIP, Salisbury, Baker's Assistant
 Salisbury Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 HILL, HARRY, Kirtall, Leeds, Painter Leeds Pet Aug
 26 Ord Aug 26
 INNOCENT, LEWIS WILLIAM SAMUEL, Datchet, Licensed
 Victualler Windsor Pet Aug 2 Ord Aug 26
 KAYE, JOSEPH, Potter Hill, nr Sheffield, Colliery Bankman
 Barnsley Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 KNIGHT, CHARLES WILLIAM, Uddingston rd, Shepherd's Bush,
 Builder High Court Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 MALVIN, HERMAN, and MORIS MALVIN, Lower Broughton,
 Salford Manchester Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 MIAL, EMILY, South Croydon Canterbury Pet Aug 27
 Ord Aug 27
 MITCHELL, SIDNEY, Sheffield, Carter Sheffield Pet Aug 27
 Ord Aug 27
 NOWELL, NICHOLAS, Ramsgate, Fishmonger Canterbury
 Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24
 NURSE, ANNE CAROLINE, Lower st, Strand, Toy Dealer
 High Court Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 OSBORN, CHARLES EDWARD, Hereham, Stationer Preston
 Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 OWEN, WILLIAM, Blaenwryn, Glam, Collier Aberavon
 Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 PHILLIPS, JOHN, West Bromwich, Staffs, Coal Factor West
 Bromwich Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 28
 ROBBINS, JAMES NUN, Barry, Glam, Stonemason Cardiff
 Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 26
 ROBINSON, GEORGE HERBERT, Cribdige, Staffs, Engineer
 Hanley Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 ROSENSTRAUCH, AARON, 66 Castle st, Insurance Agent
 High Court Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 SALT, THOMAS, Hanley, Newsagent Hanley Pet Aug 28
 Ord Aug 28
 SNOOK, JAMES, Byemawt, Brecknock, Ironmonger Trade-
 gate Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 27
 SPATLING, EDWARD HANCOCK, Deal, Grocer Canterbury
 Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27

STREAD, CHARLES ELLIS, Bramley, Leeds, Butcher Leeds
 Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 SWINTON, WALTER, Nottingham, Sawyer Nottingham
 Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 27
 THOMAS, ISAAC, Wembley, Builder St Albans Pet Aug 2
 Ord Aug 26
 TODD, JAMES HUMPHREYS, Cannon st, Advertising Agent
 High Court Pet July 10 Ord Aug 28
 VALENTINE, CHARLES RICHARD, Wellington chambers,
 Tooley st, PRODUCE COMMISSION Merchant High Court
 Pet July 10 Ord Aug 28
 WALLS, DANIEL WILLIAM, Hanley, Grocer Hanley Pet
 Aug 2 Ord Aug 26
 WYATT, JOHN NORWICH, Bird Dealer Norwich Pet Aug
 24 Ord Aug 28
 ZUCKERMAN, SHABBY, Whitechapel rd, Furniture Dealer
 High Court Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Sept. 5.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ABBOTT, SAM, Leicester, Inkkeeper Leicester Pet Aug
 30 Ord Aug 30
 ADAMS, DANIEL, Mountain Ash, Glam, China Dealer
 Aberdare Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 BURFORD, C. & Co, Hinchley, Boot Manufacturers
 Leicester Pet Aug 29 Ord Aug 29
 CARR, MARGARET, and SARAH CARR, Worthington Cooker-
 mouth Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 28
 CLEMESHA, ELIZABETH, Margate, Boarding House Keeper
 Canterbury Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 COLLINS THOMAS, Derby, Publican Derby Pet Aug 31
 Ord Aug 31
 CONNELL, NATHAN, Bradford, Yarn Finisher Bradford
 Pet Aug 29 Ord Aug 29
 DAVIS, JOHN AMBROSE, Horbury, Yorks, Saddler Wakefield
 Pet Aug 31 Ord Aug 31
 EDWARDS & WAKELIN, Aston Birmingham, Grocers Bir-
 mingham Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 29
 GAMBLES, SAMUEL LEVICK, Thomas Nodden, and WILLIAM
 JAMES NORRIS, Sheffield, Electro Platers Sheffield Pet
 Aug 31 Ord Aug 31
 GLENDENING, JOHN ROBINSON, Newcastle on Tyne,
 Tobacconist Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 28 Ord
 Aug 28
 JONES, JOHN WALKER, Cricketh, Carnarvon, Photographer
 Portmadoc Pet Aug 29 Ord Aug 29
 JONES PAVOS ANDREW, Birmingham, Grocer Birmingham
 Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 KING, R. W., Aston, Birmingham, Grocer Birmingham Pet
 Aug 10 Ord Aug 29
 LAWSON, JOSEPH BROWN, Whitley, Northumberland, Plum-
 ber Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 MACHELL, MARY, Stalybridge, Refreshment Rooms Keeper
 Ashton under Lyne Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 MASTERS CHARLES, Birmingham, Baker Birmingham Pet
 Aug 29 Ord Aug 29
 MARSHALL, RICHARD, Midgley, nr Halifax, Farmer Halifax
 Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 MCKEON, ARTHUR JAMES, Brook Green High Court Pet
 Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 NICHOLLS, GEORGE FRASER, Newquay, Cornwall, Solicitor
 Truro Pet July 13 Ord Aug 29
 NORCOTT, CATHERINE CARR, Brighton Brighton Pet Aug
 29 Ord Aug 29
 PEARSON, HERBERT BEILBY, Shoreham Brighton Pet Aug
 31 Ord Aug 31
 ROUTLEDGE, ANN, Blyth, Northumberland, Licensed
 Victualler Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 27
 ROWE, EDITH, Bishopton, Lancs, Draper Blackburn Pet
 Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 SHERWOOD, JOSEPH, Willenhall, Staffs, Schoolmaster
 Wolverhampton Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 STEPHENS, ALFRED, Marthall, Chester, Farmer Manchester
 Pet Aug 6 Ord Aug 30
 THOMAS, JAMES, Kingdale rd, Chemist High Court Pet
 Aug 8 Ord Aug 29
 TROUP, CHARLES E BIRNIE, St James's pl, St James's st
 High Court Pet June 21 Ord Aug 29
 WATERS, HARRY, Nottingham Nottingham Pet Aug 31
 Ord Aug 31
 WOLF, ANGEL, Soho st, Jeweller High Court Pet Aug 3
 Ord Aug 29

FIRST MEETINGS.

BLATCHFORD, GEORGE, Clydach Vale, Glam, Mason Sept 11
 at 12 15, High st, Merthyr Tydfil
 BROADHURST, WALTER, St Leonard's on Sea, Fly Proprietor
 Sept 10 at 2 45 County Court Office, 24, Cambridge
 road, Hastings
 BURFORD, C. & Co Hinchley, Boot Manufacturers Sept 11
 at 12 Off Rec, 1, Bridge st, Leicester
 CLAY, WILLIAM, Matlock Bank, Derby, Beer Dealer
 Sept 10 at 12 Off Rec, 47, Full st, Derby
 CLEMESHA, ELIZABETH, Margate, Boarding house Keeper
 Sept 11 at 12 30 Off Rec, 68, Castle st, Canterbury
 COLEMAN, SAMUEL EMMETT, Sutton on Sea, Sept 10 at 2 30
 Off Rec, 4, Castle pl, Park st, Nottingham
 COLLIERSON, JOHN YOUNG, Hastings, Builder Sept 10 at
 2 15 County Court Office, 24, Cambridge rd, Hastings
 COLLINS, WALTER, Walsall, Cycle Manufacturer Sept 13
 at 11 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
 CONNELL, NATHAN, Bradford, Yarn Finisher Sept 10 at 11
 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
 ELLIS, WALTER, Manchester, Stonemason Sept 11 at 2 30
 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester
 FROST, ELLIS, Sheffield, Cycle Maker Sept 11 at 12 Off
 Rec, Fiveston ln, Sheffield
 HARRIS, ISABELLA, Aberdare, Mon, Boot Dealer Sept 10
 at 12 15, High st, Merthyr Tydfil
 HARGREAVES, WILSON, Thomas, Hempstead Heath Sept
 11 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg. Carey at
 HOBBS JOSEPH, alias WILLIAM HOMER, Birmingham, Cab
 Proprietor Sept 11 at 11 174, Corporation st,
 Birmingham
 JONES, JOHN WALKER, Portmadoc, Tobacconist Sept 12 at
 11 30 Sportsman Hotel, Portmadoc
 KAYE, JOSEPH, Potter Hill, nr Sheffield, Colliery Bankman
 Sept 10 at 10 30 Off Rec, Regent st, Barnsley
 LIFTON, SIDNEY JAYE, Bristol, Grocer Sept 11 at 11 30
 Off Rec, Baldwin st, Bristol

MIAL, EMILY, South Croydon Sept 11 at 12 Off Rec, 68,
 Castle st, Canterbury
 MITCHELL, SIDNEY, Sheffield, Carter Sept 11 at 12 30 Off
 Rec, Fiveston ln, Sheffield
 MORRIS, JOHN, Pwllheli, ararvon, Shopkeeper Sept 12
 at 11 Sportsman Hotel, Portmadoc
 NELSON, JOHN, Hu stantun, Builder Sept 12 at 3 Court
 house, King's Lynn
 NOWELL, NICHOLAS, Ramsgate, Fishmonger Sept 11 at
 10 30 Off Rec, 68, Castle st, Canterbury
 OWEN, WILLIAM, Blaenwryn, Glam, Collier Sept 10 at 12
 Off Rec, 81 Alexandra rd, Swansea
 PALMER JOHN CHRISTOPHER West Bromwich, Tailor Sept
 12 at 11 174, Corporation st, Birmingham
 PERKIN, CHARLES Leek, Staffs Sept 10 at 2 30 Off Rec,
 28, King Edward st, Macclesfield
 PRICE, SAMUEL OLIVIER, West Bromwich, Painter Sept 12
 at 12 174, Corporation st, Birmingham
 ROBBINS, JAMES NUN, Barry, Glam, Stonemason Sept 11
 at 12 Off Rec, 117, St Mary st, Cardiff
 ROSENSTRAUCH, AARON, 66 Castle st, Insurance Agent
 Sept 10 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg. Carey at
 SHARRATT, EDWARD, Windsor, Butcher Sept 12 at 12
 Messrs Herbert & Son, 95, Piccadilly, Windsor, Berks
 SHEPHERD, EMANUEL HARRIS, Brixham, Devon, Coal
 Merchant Sept 10 at 11 6, Athenium ter, Plymouth
 SMITH, FREDERICK GERALD, Rye, Sussex, Grocer's Assistant
 Sept 11 at 2 30 Off Rec, 68, Castle st, Canterbury
 SPATLING, EDWARD HANCOCK, Deal, Grocer Sept 11 at 19
 Off Rec, 68, Castle st, Canterbury
 STEPHENS, ALFRED, Marthall, Chester, Farmer Sept 11 at
 3 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester
 SWAIN, JAMES F E, Glastonbury, Wine Merchant Sept 11
 at 12 Off Rec, Baldwin st, Bristol
 THOMAS, GRIFFITH GRIFFITH, Harlech, Merioneth, Quarry
 Labourer Sept 12 at 11 15 Sportsman Hotel, Port-
 madoc
 TOMPKIN, ARTHUR NDD, and OCTAVIUS FRANK DAINES,
 Norwich, Whitechapel Sept 10 at 12 Off Rec, 8,
 King st, Norwich
 WALSHAW, JOHN WILLIAM, Manchester Sept 11 at 11
 Bankruptcy bldg. Carey at
 WILLIAMS THEOPHILUS, Barry, Glam, Grocer Sept 10 at 3
 Off Rec, 117, St Mary st, Cardiff
 WYATT, JOHN, Norwich, Bird Dealer Sept 10 at 1 Off
 Rec, 8, King st, Norwich
 WYATT, MATTHEW, Ramsgate, Army Captain Sept 11 at
 11 Off Rec, 68, Castle st, Canterbury
 ZUCKERMAN, SHABBY, Whitechapel rd, Furniture Dealer
 Sept 10 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg. Carey at

ADJUDICATIONS.

ADAMS, DANIEL, Mountain Ash, Glam, China Dealer
 Aberdare Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 BENJAMIN, ISADORE, Spitalfields, Skin Dealer High
 Court Pet July 29 Ord Aug 29
 BROWN, ADOLF ELKANOH, and WALTER LEWIS FAIR,
 Leicester, Boot Manufacturers Leicester Pet July 30
 Ord Aug 30
 CHILCOT, JAMES, Kingston on Thames, Tailor Kingston,
 Surrey Pet Aug 27 Ord Aug 29
 CLEMESHA, ELIZABETH, Margate, Boarding house Keeper
 Canterbury Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 COLLINS THOMAS, Derby, Publican Derby Pet Aug 31
 Ord Aug 31
 CONNELL, NATHAN, Bradford, Yarn Finisher Bradford
 Pet Aug 29 Ord Aug 29
 COOKE, MATTHEW, Congleton, Builder Macclesfield Pet
 Aug 5 Ord Aug 28
 DAVIS, JOHN AMBROSE, Horbury, Yorks, Saddler Wakefield
 Pet Aug 31 Ord Aug 31
 ELKINS, ALFRED, Sutton, Surrey, Builder Croydon Pet
 May 24 Ord July 13
 HUTCHINSON, STEVE, Newton le Willows, Lancs, Licensed
 Victualler Warrington Pet July 19 Ord Aug 31
 JONES, JOHN WALKER, Cricketh, Carnarvon, Photographer
 Portmadoc Pet Aug 29 Ord Aug 29
 KINNEY, THOMAS EVAN, Llandinam, Montgomery, Farm
 Bailiff Newtown Pet Aug 26 Ord Aug 30
 LAWSON, JOSEPH BROWN, Whitley, Northumberland, Plum-
 ber Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 MACHELL, MARY, Stalybridge, Refreshment Rooms Keeper
 Ashton under Lyne Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 MARSHALL, RICHARD, Midgley, nr Halifax, Farmer Halifax
 Pet Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 MOON, EDMUND, Bristol, Cycle Manufacturer Bristol Pet
 Aug 19 Ord Aug 30
 NORCOTT, CATHERINE CARR, Brighton Brighton Pet Aug
 29 Ord Aug 29
 PEARSON, HERBERT BEILBY, Shoreham Brighton Pet Aug
 30 Ord Aug 30
 POTT, JOHN, Rainford, Nurseryman Liverpool Pet Aug
 31 Ord Aug 30
 ROBINSON, WILLIAM, Tonbridge, Tailor Tonbridge Wells
 Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 30
 ROWE, EDITH, Bishopton, Lancs, Draper Blackburn Pet
 Aug 30 Ord Aug 30
 SCOTT, MAUD SOPHIA, Draycott gds, South Kensington
 High Court Pet June 11 Ord Aug 29
 SHERWOOD, JOSEPH, Willenhall, Staffs, Schoolmaster
 Wolverhampton Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 28
 FURBER, HENRY YOUNG, Walsall, Grocer Leicester
 Pet July 23 Ord Aug 31
 WATERS, HARRY, Nottingham Nottingham Pet Aug 31
 Ord Aug 31
 WILDE, JOHN RICHARD, Rhyl, Pwllheli, Commercial Traveller
 High Court Pet June 1 Ord Aug 29
 WILLIAMS, THEOPHILUS, Barry, Glam, Grocer Cardiff Pet
 Aug 1 Ord Aug 30
 WOOLSTEIN, CLARA LOUISA, Amburst rd, Hackney, Mantle
 Maker High Court Pet July 1 Ord Aug 31

Amended notice substituted for that published in the
 London Gazette of July 29:
 WRIGHT, WALTER, Nonington, Butcher Derby Pet July
 19 Ord July 19

Amended notice substituted for that published in the
 London Gazette of Aug 29:
 KITCHEN, JULIA, Wimbison, Draper Kingston, Surrey
 Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17